Animal, page 1 of 9 © The Animal Consortium 2011
doi:10.1017/S1751731111002023

animal

Dietary fatty acids and cardiovascular disease

A. M. Salter†

Division of Nutritional Sciences, School of Biosciences, University of Nottingham, Sutton Bonington Campus, Leicestershire, Loughborough LE12 5RD, UK

(Received 11 July 2011; Accepted 16 September 2011)

In 1991, the Committee on Medical Aspects of Food Policy produced a report on the dietary reference values for food energy and nutrients for groups of people in the United Kingdom. The resulting recommendations, which included specific limits for intakes of total, saturated, trans- *and* cis*-polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) have remained a cornerstone of public health policy ever since, and similar recommendations have been adopted by the World Health Organization. These recommendations were made largely on the basis of specific effects of these fatty acids on the risk of developing atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (CVD). The intervening years have seen a plethora of human epidemiological and intervention trials to further elucidate the specific relationship between dietary fatty acid intake, plasma lipids and lipoproteins and cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. A number of recent meta-analyses and systematic reviews have revisited the role of specific dietary fatty acid classes and CVD risk. In general, these continue to support a link between saturated fatty acids (SFA) and CVD morbidity/mortality. They also highlight the potent adverse effects of* trans *fatty acids derived from partially hydrogenated vegetable oil. The most recent data suggest that replacing SFA with* cis*-PUFA (primarily linoleic acid) has the greatest impact on reducing CVD risk. Evidence of specific beneficial effects of n-3 PUFA is generally stronger for secondary, rather than primary, CVD risk, and it is restricted to very long chain fatty acids of marine origin as opposed to alpha-linolenic acid. Taken together, these data suggest that recent focus on dietary n-6-to-n-3 PUFA ratios may have been misguided, and that future strategies should focus on replacing dietary SFA with total PUFA, rather than concentrating on n-6 : n-3 PUFA ratio.*

Keywords: cardiovascular disease, coronary heart disease, diet, fatty acids

Implications

Animal products make a major contribution to the intake of dietary fatty acids within the human diet. As our understanding of the role of specific fatty acids in the development of human disease has developed, this has informed research into manipulating the fatty acid composition of meat and milk. This review summarizes the outcome of a number of recent meta-analyses of human cohort and intervention studies aimed at elucidating the relationship between the intake of specific dietary fatty acids and cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. The conclusions should help inform future research into improving the nutritional quality of animal products.

Introduction

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) represents a major cause of mortality throughout the developed world. In most cases, the underlying cause is atherosclerosis, a progressive thickening and loss of elasticity of the artery wall due to the accumulation of lipids (primarily cholesterol ester), connective tissue and, in the latter stages, calcium (Mangiapane and Salter, 1999). As the artery narrows and impedes blood flow, it can lead to tissue ischaemia resulting in conditions such as angina, when the coronary arteries are affected, or intermittent claudication, when peripheral arteries supplying the legs are affected. The most common acute clinical manifestations of CVD are myocardial infarction (heart attack) or stroke. Myocardial infarction can occur when a coronary artery gets blocked by a thrombosis occurring over the site of an atherosclerotic lesion. This often occurs when part of the lesion breaks away revealing the underlying tissue. Similarly, a stroke may occur when a carotid artery becomes blocked leading to loss of blood supply to the brain. Alternatively, the carotid artery may become weakened and may burst leading to a 'hemorrhagic' stroke. Atherosclerosis is a multi-factorial disease with both genetic and lifestyle risk factors, a complete discussion of which is beyond the scope of this review. The primary 'modifiable' risk factors have been identified as plasma cholesterol (increased low density lipoprotein (LDL) and/or decreased high density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol), hypertension and smoking. It is the

† E-mail: andrew.salter@nottingham.ac.uk

relationship between dietary fat intake, plasma lipoproteins and cardiovascular morbidity and mortality that is the focus of this review. LDL represents the primary source of cholesterol that accumulates in the artery wall, whereas HDL can actually function to remove cholesterol from the developing atherosclerotic plaque (Mangiapane and Salter, 1999).

In the early 1970s, death from CVD had reached record levels in many parts of the developed world. In England, approximately 265 people per 100 000 of the population were dying as a result of coronary heart disease (CHD), stroke and other diseases of the circulatory system (Scarborough *et al*., 2010a). By 2006, this figure had dropped to less than 80 per 100 000. Why were levels so high and why have they subsequently dropped consistently year on year? Certainly, one factor has been considerable improvements in treatment of people suffering from CVD. It has been estimated that approximately 42% of the decline between 1981 and 2000 was the result of changes to treatment of individuals once they developed the disease (Unal *et al*., 2004). The remaining 58% was attributed to changes in the primary modifiable CVD risk factors, smoking (48%), hypertension (9.5%) and plasma cholesterol (9.5%). It should be noted that this data largely pre-dates the widespread availability of the cholesterol-lowering Statin drugs, and reflects the lack of impact of advice directed toward altering dietary fat intakes. These beneficial changes have been partly offset by increases in some other risk factors, including obesity (−3.4%), diabetes (−4.7%) and reduced physical activity (−4.3%; Unal *et al*., 2004).

In 1991, the Report of the Panel on Dietary Reference Values of the Committee on Medical Aspects of Food Policy produced recommendations for dietary fat intake for the UK population. These were largely based on the growing evidence base of a link between the amount and type of fat in the diet, plasma cholesterol concentrations and cardiovascular risk (Department of Health, 1991). These recommendations suggested that: saturated fatty acids (SFA) should provide an average for the population of 10% of total dietary energy (inclusive of alcohol); *cis*-monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA) should continue to provide an average of approximately 12% of dietary energy; *cis*-polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) should continue to provide an average of 6% of dietary energy and should be derived from a mixture of n-6 and n-3 PUFA; *trans* fatty acids (TFA) should not increase beyond the then estimated intake of 2% of dietary energy; and total fat should average 33% of dietary energy intake. Subsequently, a specific recommendation to double the intake of long chain n-3 PUFA (i.e. those with 20 or 22 carbon atoms) from 0.1 to 0.2 g/day was also introduced (Department of Health, 1994). The recommendation for n-3 PUFA intake was further increased to 0.45 g/day by the Standing Advisory Committee on Nutrition (SACN, 2004). SACN also recently reviewed the evidence for further reducing recommendations on TFA intake; however, in view of the fact that average intakes in the United Kingdom are already less than 1% of energy, they decided that any further change was unnecessary (SACN, 2007). Such recommendations have remained the cornerstones of the UK public health nutrition policy ever since, and are closely reflected in more recent guidelines produced as a result of the recent FAO/WHO Expert Consultation on Fats and Fatty Acids in Human Nutrition (Table 1). This paper will review recent evidence as to the validity of such recommendations focusing particularly on meta-analysis and systematic reviews of CVD morbidity and mortality.

Table 1 *Food and Agriculture Organisation/World Health Organisation recommended intakes for total fat and fatty acids*

Fat/fatty acid	Recommendation (% of energy)
Total fat	20 to 35
SFA	<10
cis-MUFA	By difference*
Total *cis*-PUFA	6 to 11
n-6 *cis*-PUFA	2.5 to 9
n-3 *cis*-PUFA	0.5 to 2.
TFA	<1

SFA = saturated fatty acids; MUFA = monounsaturated fatty acids; PUFA = polyunsaturated fatty acids; TFA = *trans* fatty acids.
Adapted from Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO, 2010).
Values quoted are acceptable macronutrient distribution ranges apart from SFA, which represents the upper acceptable macronutrient distribution range.
*Total fat – SFA–PUFA–TFA.

Diet–heart hypothesis

The link between intake of dietary fat, plasma cholesterol and risk of CVD is frequently referred to as the Diet–heart hypothesis. Although its origins lie in animal experimentation undertaken over a century ago, the Seven Countries Study, led by Ancel Keys, is frequently credited as one of the earliest human epidemiological studies to support this link in humans (Keys *et al*., 1966). This ongoing longitudinal prospective study of risk factors for CVD, started in 1958, included 12 763 men aged between 40 and 59 years from 16 cohorts in seven countries: Finland, Greece, Italy, the Netherlands, former Yugoslavia (Serbia and Croatia), Japan and the United States. Although frequently criticized, and certainly lacking some of the sophistication of more recent epidemiological studies, the strong inter-correlations between dietary SFA intake, plasma cholesterol and CVD mortality were sufficient to persuade many health professionals that high intakes of SFA were responsible for the burgeoning levels of cardiovascular mortality of the 1960s and 1970s across North America, parts of Western Europe and other 'industrialized' countries. Keys was also one of the first to demonstrate the quantitative relationship between plasma cholesterol and the amount and type of fat in the diet (Keys *et al*., 1965). In a series of metabolic ward studies, he described the mathematical relationships between the intake of SFA, PUFA and dietary cholesterol and changes in plasma cholesterol. Contemporaneously, Hegsted *et al*. (1965) conducted similar studies, which, although exhibiting minor quantitative differences, arrived at the same basic conclusions, namely: (1) dietary cholesterol has a relatively modest plasma cholesterol-raising effect, (2) dietary SFA have potent plasma cholesterol-raising effects, (3) dietary PUFA have a

plasma cholesterol-lowering effect and (4) the cholesterol-raising effect of dietary SFA is more potent than the lowering effect of PUFA. Reducing dietary SFA intake has remained the cornerstone of public heath nutrition policy for reducing risk of CVD ever since.

Dietary fatty acids and plasma lipoprotein cholesterol concentrations

Although the equations of Keys and Hegsted have stood the test of time remarkably well, they tell us nothing about the impact of individual fatty acids on cholesterol associated with specific lipoprotein fractions. With the recognition that high concentrations of LDL cholesterol are associated with increased CVD risk, whereas high concentrations of HDL cholesterol are protective, interest turned to the effects of dietary fatty acids on individual lipoprotein fractions. This has been addressed in a multitude of human feeding trials. In the early 1990s, a number of meta-analyses attempted to pull together information arising from such studies (Mensink and Katan, 1992; Hegsted *et al*., 1993; Yu *et al*., 1995) and their findings have been summarized elsewhere (Salter and White, 1996). Overall, there was broad agreement that SFA increased both LDL and HDL cholesterol, but the effect on the former was substantially greater. Thus, the association of diets rich in SFA with increased CVD risk was likely to be as a result of its LDL cholesterol-raising properties. The study by Yu *et al*. (1995) showed that these effects were associated with SFAs between 12 and 16 carbon atom (namely lauric, myristic and palmitic acids), whereas stearic acid (*c*18:0) did not increase LDL cholesterol. Increasing PUFA intake was shown to reduce LDL cholesterol, whereas slightly increasing HDL cholesterol. Two of these analyses (Mensink and Katan, 1992; Yu *et al*., 1995) suggested that dietary MUFA decrease LDL cholesterol, but not as much as PUFA, whereas the study by Hegsted *et al*. (1993) suggested no effect of MUFA on LDL cholesterol. All three studies suggested an HDL cholesterol-raising effect of MUFA.

In 2003, Mensink *et al.* (2003) published a further meta-analysis of the impact of dietary fatty acids on plasma cholesterol. This confirmed the previous suggestions that SFAs increased LDL cholesterol, whereas PUFA and MUFA both reduced it, with PUFA being more potent than MUFA. All three fatty acid types had modest HDL cholesterol-raising properties. This analysis also includes dietary TFA and confirmed increasing concerns that they have the most deleterious effect on lipoproteins, raising LDL cholesterol to a greater extent than SFAs, whereas not increasing HDL cholesterol. They also confirmed previous suggestions that, although lauric, myristic and palmitic acids all had LDL-raising properties, stearic acid was essentially neutral. However, their paper also argued that the ratio of total plasma cholesterol to HDL cholesterol (presumably as a surrogate for LDL-to-HDL ratio) is a better marker of CVD risk than LDL cholesterol alone. When the impact of fatty acids on this ratio was considered, the conclusions were somewhat different. Overall, the SFAs had similar effects on this ratio relative to carbohydrates, although some differences were seen between different fatty acids. Lauric acid was found to actually lower the ratio, whereas myristic and palmitic acids had no significant effect. *Cis*-MUFA and -PUFA decreased the ratio, whereas TFA was the only class to significantly increase this ratio relative to dietary carbohydrate. If this ratio is indeed a better predictor of risk, then these results suggest, somewhat controversially, that increasing the amount of *cis*-MUFA or -PUFA in the diet is more beneficial than decreasing the amount of SFA. However, the authors quite rightly concluded that their results should be confirmed by prospective observational studies or clinical trials of CVD risk.

Recent systematic reviews of the impact of the major dietary fatty acids on cardiovascular morbidity and mortality

Although it is generally accepted that the quantity and composition of dietary fat can impact on plasma lipoprotein cholesterol concentrations, the impact of dietary fat on actual CVD morbidity and mortality has continued to be a topic of debate. In the past 2 to 3 years, a number of meta-analyses and systematic reviews addressed this problem. Details of some of these, together with their general conclusions, are listed in Table 2.

Jakobsen *et al*. (2009) used data from 11 European and American cohort studies to try to clarify whether energy from unsaturated fatty acids or carbohydrates should replace that from SFA to prevent CHD. Combined data from 344 696 people followed-up for 4 to 10 years yielded 5249 coronary events and 2155 coronary deaths. The models developed from these data suggested that replacing 5% of energy intake as saturated fat with PUFA resulted in significantly reduced hazard ratios for both coronary events and mortality. By contrast, no significant benefit was seen if saturated fat was replaced with MUFA, and replacement with carbohydrates actually produced a modest, but significant, increase in CHD events.

On reviewing 28 individual cohort studies relating dietary fat, Skeaff and Miller (2009) failed to demonstrate a relationship between, either dietary SFA or MUFA intake and CHD mortality. The relationship with n-6 PUFA intake was complicated in that the relative risk of those individuals with the highest intake was significantly increased over those with the lowest intake. However, increasing PUFA intake was associated with a significant decrease in risk. In attempting to explain these apparently opposing results, the authors stress the unreliability of observational trials.

Of all these recent analyses, analysis of Siri-Tarino *et al*. (2010a) has perhaps provoked the most controversy. The analysis of 21 prospective epidemiological studies was specifically aimed at assessing the association between dietary saturated fat intake and risk of CHD and stroke. The overall analysis included 347 747 subjects of whom 11 006 developed CHD or stroke over a 2 to 23 years follow-up. The study concluded that dietary SFA intake was not significantly associated with CVD risk. A number of major criticisms have been directed at this study. Firstly, almost half of the studies

Table 2 *Selected recent meta-analyses of the impact of dietary fatty acids on cardiovascular risk*

Study	Topic	Major conclusions
Hooper *et al.* (2004 and 2006)	n-3 PUFA on primary and secondary prevention of CVD. On the basis of 48 randomized control trials and 41 cohort analyses.	No clear effect of n-3 PUFA, provided as oily fish or fish oil supplements or ALA, on either primary or secondary CVD.
Wang *et al.* (2006)	n-3 PUFA on primary and secondary prevention of CVD. On the basis of 14 randomized control trials, 25 cohort analyses and 7 case control studies.	Increased consumption of long chain n-3 PUFA from fish or fish oil supplements reduces total mortality, cardiac and sudden death and possibility stroke. Evidence stronger in secondary prevention than in primary prevention setting. No evidence of benefits of ALA.
Mozaffarian and Rimm (2006)	Fish/fish oil intake and coronary death. On the basis of prospective cohort studies and randomized clinical trial (number not defined).	Modest consumption of oily fish (e.g. 1 to 2 servings/week) reduces risk of coronary death.
Mozaffarian and Clarke (2009)	Calculated impact of TFA within PHVO on CHD risk and of replacing PHVO with other fat and oils. On the basis of the finding of four cohort analyses.	Intakes of TFA >2% energy are associated with increased CHD risk. Effects of removing PHVO from a person's diet on CHD risk is dependent on the TFA content of the PHVO and fatty acid composition of the replacement fat or oil.
Jakobsen *et al.* (2009)	Comparison of the effects of replacing SFA with MUFA, PUFA and carbohydrate on CHD risk. On the basis of 11 cohort analyses.	Replacement of SFA with PUFA rather than MUFA or carbohydrate prevents CHD over a wide range of intakes.
Marik and Varon (2009)	Impact of fish oil supplements on preventing CVD events in high and low risk patients. On the basis of 11 randomised control trials.	Dietary supplementation with fish oil reduced total mortality, CVD death, sudden death and non-fatal CVD, predominantly in high risk patient. Supplementation should be considered in secondary prevention.
Skeaff and Miller (2009)	Impact of dietary fat on CHD mortality, including total fat, SFA, TFA, MUFA, PUFA and long chain n-3 PUFA. On the basis of 26 cohort analyses and nine randomized control trials.	On the basis of cohort studies: CHD mortality is not associated with total fat, SFA and MUFA. Strongly positively associated with TFA and negatively associated with long chain n-3 PUFA (from fish). Data on total PUFA intake inconsistent with a significant increase in CHD death in those consuming the highest intake, but paradoxically a significant reduction in CHD events associated with a 5% increase in PUFA intake. High intake of long chain n-3 PUFA or fish was strongly associated with reduced CHD mortality. On the basis of randomized control trials: CHD mortality was not associated with total fat intake, PUFA/SFA ratio or long chain n-3 PUFA intake. CHD events were significantly reduced by high PUFA/SFA ratio and long chain n-3 PUFA intake.
Siri-Tarino *et al.* (2010a and 2010b)	Association of dietary SFA with risk of CHD, stroke and total CVD. On the basis of 21 cohort analyses.	No significant evidence for concluding that dietary SFA is associated with risk of CHD, stroke or total CVD.
Mozaffarian *et al.* (2010)	Effect of increasing PUFA in place of SFA on CHD endpoints. On the basis of eight randomized control trials.	Replacing SFA with PUFA reduces CHD events.
Hooper *et al.* (2011)	Impact of dietary fat reduction or modification on mortality, cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. On the basis of 48 randomized control trials.	Replacing SFS with unsaturated fat reduced incidence of cardiovascular events. Analysis could not find any clear differential between replacing with PUFA or MUFA. Effects were only event in trial with a duration of greater than 2 years and only in men. Replacing SFA with carbohydrate was not clearly protective.
Bendsen *et al.* (2011)	Comparison of effect of industrial (PHVO) and dairy-derived TFA on CHD risk. On the basis of nine cohort studies.	Total TFA increases CHD events and death. Almost significant effect of industrial TFA on CHD risk, but no effect of dairy TFA. However, impact of dairy TFA based on limited number of studies at relative low intakes.

PUFA = polyunsaturated fatty acids; CVD = cardiovascular disease; ALA = alpha linoleic acid; TFA = *trans* fatty acids; PHVO = partially hydrogenated vegetable oil; CHD = coronary heart disease; SFA = saturated fatty acids; MUFA = monounsaturated fatty acids.

in their multi-variate analysis included adjustment for serum cholesterol concentrations. It has been argued that, as serum cholesterol concentrations 'lie on a causal chain between saturated fat intake and CHD and CVD', the data fails to support the authors conclusion (Scarborough *et al.*, 2010b). However, in reply to this criticism, Siri-Tarino *et al.* (2010b)

provided additional analysis, excluding those studies adjusted for serum cholesterol, suggesting that the results of the overall meta-analysis are still valid. The authors have also been criticized for the fact that about half of the studies used 1-day diet diaries or other 'unvalidated' methods to estimate dietary intakes (Katan *et al*., 2010). In their rebuttal for this criticism, Siri-Tarino *et al*. (2010c) argue that this was accounted for by deriving quality scores for the component studies (thus weighting the evidence toward the best performed studies), and that such evaluation did not change their findings. In the same response, the authors also reemphasize that the main conclusion of their meta-analysis was a lack of effect of saturated fats on CVD outcomes in relation to dietary carbohydrate (as opposed to unsaturated fatty acids).

A third systematic review and meta-analysis specifically addressed the value of replacing saturated fat with PUFA (Mozaffarian *et al*., 2010). The eight randomized control trials included 13 614 participants and 1042 CHD events. They estimated a 10% reduction in CHD risk for each 5% of energy of increased PUFA. Thus, in line with the findings of Jakobsen *et al*. (2009) they concluded that replacing saturated fat with PUFA would significantly reduce rates of CHD.

A further review of the impact of reducing or modifying dietary fat was recently published by Hooper *et al*. (2011). This included 65 508 participants from 48 randomly controlled trials and looked at the impact of altering dietary total fat, SFA, MUFA, PUFA and TFA intake on cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. Their primary conclusion was that long-term (greater than 2 years) reduction of SFA intake was associated with a significantly (14%) reduced cardiovascular risk. Further analysis suggested that this was specifically associated with substitution of SFA with unsaturated fatty acids rather than replacement with carbohydrates, and that this reduced risk was associated with a reduction in total and/or LDL cholesterol. Their analysis was unable to distinguish whether MUFA or PUFA were more beneficial. Although such a relationship was demonstrated for cardiovascular morbidity, it did not translate into a significant difference in mortality.

The conflicting outcome of these analyses, which frequently include many of the same primary studies, highlights the difficulties associated with performing and interpreting prospective cohort studies of human populations. The multifactorial nature of CVD makes this particularly challenging. By contrast, randomized controlled trials are more likely to produce more conclusive data. However, although these may show clearer relationships with CVD risk factors, or even morbidity, few have had the necessary sample size or duration to show clear associations with mortality. Despite these limitations, some general conclusions appear to be emerging. There is growing doubt as to the value of replacing dietary SFA with carbohydrates. However, both in terms of impact on plasma cholesterol and CVD outcomes, apart from the somewhat confusing outcome of the review by Skeaff and Miller (2009), there is considerable evidence in support of specifically increasing PUFA intakes at the expense of SFA. In North American, and most European, populations, this primarily refers to intake of the n-6 fatty acid, linoleic acid (LA, *c*18:2). As discussed below, this is set against a recent trend to suggest that our intakes of LA are too high, particularly in comparison with n-3 PUFAs (Simopoulos, 2008). Recent public health messages have suggested that we should actually reduce LA intakes, replacing it with either n-3 PUFA or MUFA (primarily oleic acid, *c*18:1). The latter has found particular favor as it represents a major component of the Mediterranean diet consumed by many countries exhibiting low levels of CVD. Although there are a number of potential components of the Mediterranean diet that may be associated with protection from CVD, the meta-analysis described have produced somewhat conflicting results over the relative efficacy of MUFA, with Jakobsen *et al*. (2009) suggesting no significant effects and Hooper *et al*. (2011) failing to distinguish between MUFA and PUFA.

TFA and cardiovascular risk

As already discussed above in the section on Dietary fatty acids and plasma lipoprotein cholesterol concentrations, TFA have been identified as having the greatest adverse effects on plasma lipoproteins. A number of systematic reviews have indicated that this also translates into an impact on CVD mortality and morbidity. Skeaff and Miller (2009) reported a highly significant association between CHD mortality and total CHD events and TFA intake in a meta-analysis of cohort studies. A similar result (based largely on the same cohort trials) was reported by Mozaffarian and Clarke (2009). This was also the outcome of an analysis based on causation score, taking into account four criteria: strength, consistency, temporality and coherence (Mente *et al*., 2009). Mozaffarian and Clarke (2009) also analyzed the results of a number of short-term intervention trials, which looked at the impact of replacing partially hydrogenated vegetable oil (PHVO), with a range of other dietary fats, on blood lipids and lipoproteins and other risk factors. They then used these data to estimate the possible impact of such substitutions on CHD risk. Taking into account total cholesterol : HDL cholesterol ratio, Lp(a), apolipoprotein B : A1 ratio and plasma C-reactive protein, even replacing PHVO with SFA-rich fats such as palm oil, butter and lard reduced predicted CHD risk substantially. The recent analysis of randomized controlled trials by Hooper *et al*. (2011) failed to demonstrate a specific effect of TFA on cardiovascular morbidity or mortality, but cautioned that this may be a result of insufficient data.

Continuing concern over the impact of TFA in the diet has led to concerted efforts to reduce the consumption of PHVO in many countries around the world. In the United Kingdom, voluntary action by the food industry has reduced TFA intake to less than 1% of total dietary energy intake. However, PHVO is not the only source of TFA in our diet. The meat and milk of ruminant animals also contain TFA, and, with the use of PHVO falling, they have become a more significant source. It has been estimated that approximately 40% to 50% of TFA in the UK diet now comes from ruminant dairy products and meat (Wyness *et al*., 2011). Considerable debate exists

as to whether TFA from such 'natural' sources have the same impact on CVD risk as that from PHVO. This is largely based on the isomer distribution as, PHVO normally contain a wide range of *trans* isomers of MUFA, which differ in the position along the carbon chain of the double bond (Bauman *et al*., 2006). By contrast, one isomer (vaccenic acid, VA, *t*11-*c*18:1) predominates in ruminant products. VA has been shown to be a precursor for the production of the *c*9, *t*11 conjugated linoleic acid isomer, which, largely based on animal model data, has been suggested to have anti-atherosclerotic properties (Bauman *et al*., 2006). However, in a recent quantitative review of the effect of TFA on HDL and LDL levels, Brouwer *et al*. (2010) concluded that all fatty acids with a double bond in the *trans* configuration (including those from ruminant products) raise the ratio of plasma LDL cholesterol to HDL cholesterol. However, their analysis clearly shows that, although many studies have been carried out investigating the impact of high intakes of PHVO ($>$5% of energy from TFA), the vast majority of studies of 'natural' sources of TFA have looked at intakes $<$2% of energy. This conclusion would be more persuasive if data could be produced which directly compares equivalent intakes of TFA from PHVO and ruminant sources. Such a comparison was attempted in the 'Transfact' trial, which fed healthy human volunteers diets containing 5% of energy as TFA from either PHVO or dairy fat. Somewhat surprisingly, only minor changes were seen in plasma lipoproteins with both sources of TFA compared with baseline diet, and the authors concluded that no conclusions could be drawn 'about the effect of TFAs from either source on absolute CVD risk in these normolipidemic subjects' (Chardigny *et al*., 2008). In a recent meta-analysis, Bendsen *et al* (2011) compared the impact of industrial (PHVO) and ruminant TFA on risk of CHD. The review of nine prospective cohort studies concluded that, although high intakes of total TFA were associated with increased CHD events and mortality, there were no significant effects of either industrial or ruminant sources (although the impact of the former on CHD risk was close to significant, $P = 0.09$). Although the study appears to suggest no adverse effects of ruminant TFA, the authors express caution over the relatively small number of studies available, and suggest that the lack of effect of ruminant TFA may be due to the lower intake levels.

Long chain n-3 PUFA and CVD

Of all the classes of dietary fatty acids, n-3 PUFA have probably received the most attention in recent years. Although both health professionals and the general public appear to have accepted the positive impacts these fatty acids can have on health, including reduced CVD risk, UK dietary intakes (excluding supplements) remain relatively low at approximately 244 mg/day (Givens and Gibbs, 2008), only 54% of that recommended. Health claims largely originate from studies comparing disease risk in populations that traditionally consumed large quantities of marine fish and/or mammals with those with much lower fish intakes. Most notably, observations of low incidences of CVD in traditional-living Greenland Eskimos were attributed to high, very long chain n-3 PUFA intake, specifically, eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA, *c*20:5) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA, *c*22:6; Bang and Dyerberg, 1972 and 1980). Subsequent research has suggested a whole range of potential mechanisms by which these very long chain PUFA exert their protective effects, including: lowering blood pressure, reduced serum triacylglycerol, anti-thrombogenic effects, anti-inflammatory effects, anti-arrhythmic effects, improved vascular function, increased plaque stability and improved insulin sensitivity (Breslow, 2006). Such findings have spawned a large number of cohort and interventional studies aimed at evaluating the possible benefits of consuming very long chain n-3 PUFA (either through consuming fish or fish oil supplements) on CVD mortality and morbidity. Hooper *et al*. (2004 and 2006) carried out a systematic review of 48 randomized control trials and 41 cohort studies investigating the impact of n-3 PUFA on total mortality and CVD events. Their somewhat surprising conclusion was that there was no clear evidence that dietary or supplemental n-3 PUFA altered total mortality or combined CVD events either in people with, or at high risk of, CVD or in the general population. Although their initial analysis included shorter chain n-3 PUFA (alpha-linolenic acid, ALA, *c*18:3), restricting it to fish-based very long n-3 PUFA failed to demonstrate any positive results. They also failed to distinguish any specific effects of consuming oily fish or fish oil supplements. The outcome of this analysis has sparked considerable debate, especially the concern regarding the inclusion of the Diet and Angina Randomized Trial (DART-2) by Burr *et al*. (2003), which reported adverse effects of increasing oily fish consumption/fish oil supplements in patients suffering from angina. This trial has been criticized as being unblinded and for the nature of the EPA-rich fish oil (Marik and Varon, 2009). Hooper *et al*. (2004) note that excluding this one trial alters the conclusions of the analysis and supports a beneficial effect of n-3 PUFA. A contemporary systematic review by Wang *et al*. (2006) concluded that consumption of very long chain n-3 PUFA from fish or fish oil reduces overall mortality, cardiac mortality and sudden death, with the evidence being considerably stronger for secondary prevention (in subjects who have already suffered a CVD event) rather than primary prevention. In the same year, Mozaffarian and Rimm (2006) concluded, from a review of cohort and randomized control trials, that modest consumption of fish (e.g. one to two servings/week) may reduce coronary death by as much as 36%. Two more recent systematic reviews appear to support the findings of Wang *et al*. (2006). Marik and Varon (2009) reviewing the impact of very long chain n-3 PUFA supplements, also concluded that this was of particular benefit in the secondary prevention of CVD. Skeaff and Miller (2009) presented evidence from cohort studies that consumption of fish is associated with reduced risk of fatal and non-fatal CHD. However, this was not supported by their analysis of randomized control trials, although again exclusion of the DART-2 trial altered the results such that CHD mortality was significantly reduced by very long chain n-3 PUFA intake. Overall, there does appear to be reasonable evidence to suggest cardio-protective effects of

EPA/DHA-rich fat whether consumed as oily fish or fish oil supplements. Evidence of a protective effect in people who have already suffered a cardiovascular event appears somewhat better than that for primary prevention. Many countries, and the World Health Organization, have adopted public health policies that recommend population increases in consumption of oily fish. However, with increasing concerns about the sustainability of wild-fish stocks, it may be argued that we should be identifying more clearly those individuals who are most likely to benefit from this diminishing resource. To this end, it has recently been suggested that the 'Omega-3 Index' (EPA+DHA content of erythrocytes expressed as a percent of total identified fatty acids) might be a useful marker of cardiovascular risk (Harris, 2010). Although alternative non-marine sources of DHA are becoming more widely available, this is not the case for EPA. Thus, it is also important to try and establish the relative efficacy of these two fatty acids.

ALA and the n-6 : n-3 PUFA ratio

It is currently unclear whether dietary intakes of ALA impact on CVD risk. As humans are capable of synthesizing EPA and DHA from ALA, it might be assumed to be cardio-protective. It is also possible that ALA has cardio-protective properties in its own right. A large cohort study, following 45 722 men over a period of 14 years, concluded that consumption of plant-derived n-3 PUFA (largely ALA) reduced CHD risk, particularly when intake of marine-derived n-3 PUFA is low (Mozaffarian *et al*., 2005). However, neither the systematic review of Hooper *et al*. (2004 and 2006) nor that of Wang *et al*. (2006) found any specific benefit of ALA consumption. A further recent cohort study of 20 069 men and women, followed between 8 and 13 years, has also failed to demonstrate any relationship between ALA intake and CHD risk (de Goede *et al*., 2011).

Most estimates of the efficiency of conversion of ALA to longer chain derivatives suggest that efficiency is very low in humans, with that of ALA to EPA being in the region of 0.2% to 6% and ALA to DHA possibly as low as 0.05% (Burdge and Calder, 2005). Pre-menopausal women are considerably more efficient in these conversions than men (Burdge and Calder, 2005), and evidence is also emerging to suggest that polymorphisms in desaturases and elongase enzymes, associated with the conversion of ALA to very long chain n-3 PUFA, can also influence the efficiency of the process (Tanaka *et al*., 2009). It is noteworthy that even in vegans, consuming no long chain n-3 PUFA, at least some individuals clearly attain blood levels similar to those of fish eaters (Welch *et al*., 2010). Further study of the regulation of the synthesis of long chain n-3 PUFA, and the impact of genetic and other dietary factors, may help identify those most in need of increased fish/fish oil consumption. Fatty acids of the n-3 and n-6 classes compete for the desaturase/elongase enzymes associated with the production of their longer chain derivatives (Harris, 2006). In recent years, there has been much debate about the ratio of n-6 to n-3 PUFA in our diets, and its possible impact on health. In the diet of our paleolithic ancestors, this ratio may have been less than 1, whereas in many modern 'Western' diets it can exceed 15 (Simopoulos, 2008). It has been suggested that this could directly impact on the ability of ALA to compete for the first delta-6 desaturation, and thereby reduce our ability to produce very long chain n-3 PUFA. However, there appears to be little direct evidence that the n-6 : n-3 PUFA ratio does, in fact, impact on CVD risk, and its value as a clinically relevant risk factor has been challenged (Harris, 2006; Stanley *et al*., 2007). One problem in focusing on reducing this ratio lies in the fact that it could be achieved in one of the two ways, reducing n-6 PUFA intake or increasing n-3 PUFA intake. The evidence reviewed above clearly suggests that replacing SFA with PUFA is cardio-protective. Although this does not specifically differentiate between classes of PUFA, the abundance of LA in the diet would suggest that it is responsible for these beneficial effects. Whether ALA has similar, more or less beneficial effects remains to be demonstrated. Thus, it would appear imprudent to suggest reducing LA intakes simply to achieve a numerical change in this ratio. As already suggested, it may be much more valuable to determine an individual's 'Omega-3 Index' (red blood cell levels of EPA + DHA) and supplement with marine-derived very long chain n-3 PUFA if particularly low levels are indicated (Harris, 2010).

Meat and milk intake and CVD

The primary aim of this review has been to reevaluate the evidence relating to the impact of different classes of dietary fatty acids on CVD, with reference to a number of recently published systematic reviews. However, it is important to recognize that fatty acids are consumed as complex mixtures depending on the specific food types selected by the individual. Animal products undoubtedly contribute a significant proportion of total SFA intake in an omnivorous diet. It is therefore worth briefly addressing the question as to whether consumption of meat, milk or other dairy products is associated with CVD risk. The impact of meat consumption was recently addressed in an analysis of 17 prospective cohort and three case–control studies (Micha *et al*., 2010). They concluded that intake of processed, but not red, meat was associated with an increased incidence of CHD. Only three studies specifically investigated the relationship between meat intake and stroke, and no significant association was found within this limited data. The authors speculate that the higher sodium and nitrate content of processed meat might contribute to their impact on CVD.

The impact of milk and/or dairy products on CVD risk has also been the topic of a recent systematic review (Elwood *et al*., 2010). Meta-analysis of 38 cohort studies suggested that high dairy food consumption was actually protective from CHD and stroke. Data were most robust for milk consumption; however, although acknowledging the limited evidence available concerning other dairy products, they conclude that there is no convincing evidence of harm from consumption of separate dairy fat-containing food items. This conclusion is supported by another recent meta-analyses of 17 cohort studies, which concluded that milk intake is not associated with total mortality and may actually be inversely related to overall CVD risk (Soedamah-Muthu *et al*., 2011).

Taken together, the evidence described above suggests that the consumption of animal products, *per se*, is not necessarily associated with increased CVD risk. A more global analysis of the impact of dietary factors on CHD risk recently concluded that overall dietary patterns may be more important (Mente *et al*., 2009). They demonstrate 'strong' evidence that, although a Mediterranean diet (rich in vegetables, legumes, fruits, nuts, whole grains, cheese or yoghurt, fish and MUFA relative to PUFA) or 'prudent' diet (characterized by high intake of vegetables, fruit, legumes, whole grain, fish and other sea food) were protective from CHD, a 'Western' diet (characterized by high intake of processed meat, red meat, butter, high-fat dairy products, eggs and refined grains) substantially increased risk.

Conclusions

The outcomes of both epidemiological and experimental studies by Ancel Keys have stood the test of time remarkably well. Now, as then, the balance of evidence appears to suggest that replacing dietary SFA with PUFA is the best way to minimize CVD risk. In the meantime, we have identified that the introduction of PHVO into the human food chain, and the associated increase in intake of TFA, may well have contributed significantly to the high levels of CVD mortality and morbidity in much of the 'Western' world in the post-war era. Fortunately, having identified this problem, many countries have taken significant steps toward reducing, or even eliminating, human consumption of PHVO. The impact of natural TFA, derived from ruminant meat and milk, requires further investigation. Intensive study of the role of dietary n-3 PUFA-rich fish oil in CVD risk, has suggested protective effects in at least some individuals. As long as marine fish/mammals remain the primary source of these fatty acids, we should perhaps question the advisability of pursuing an increase in universal consumption of this diminishing natural resource and instead concentrate on identifying those individuals who are most likely to benefit (such as those who have already suffered a cardiac event or with a low n-3 Index). There is less evidence that ALA has any specific benefit and, coupled with the reaffirmation of the beneficial effects of n-6 PUFA in risk reduction, the recent focus on the n-6-to-n-3 PUFA ratio may have been misguided.

References

Bang HO and Dyerberg J 1972. Plasma lipids and lipoproteins in Greenlandic west coast Eskimos. Acta Medica Scandinavica 192, 85–94.

Bang HO and Dyerberg J 1980. Lipid metabolism and ischemic heart disease in Greenland Eskimos. In Advances in nutrition research (ed. H Draper), pp. 1–22. Plenum Press, New York, USA.

Bauman DE, Lock AL, Corl BA, Ip C, Salter AM and Parodi PW 2006. Milk fatty acids and human health: potential role of conjugated linoleic acid and trans fatty acids. In Ruminant physiology: digestion, metabolism and impact of nutrition on gene expression, immunology and stress (ed. K Sejrsen, T Hvelplund and MO Nielsen), pp. 529–561. Wageningen Academic Publishers, Wageningen, the Netherlands.

Bendsen NT, Christensen R, Bartels EM and Astrup A 2011. Consumption of industrial and ruminant trans fatty acid and risk of coronary heart disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. European Journal of Clinical Nutrition 65, 773–783.

Breslow JL 2006. N-3 fatty acids and cardiovascular disease. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 83 (suppl.), 1477S–1482S.

Brouwer IA, Wanders AJ and Katan MB 2010. Effect of animal and industrial trans fatty acids on HDL and LDL cholesterol levels in humans – a quantitative review. PLoS One 5, e9434.

Burr ML, Ashfield-Watt PAI, Dunstan FDJ, Fehily AM, Breay P, Ashton T, Zotos PC, Haboubi NA and Elwood PC 2003. Lack of benefit of dietary advice to men with angina: results of a controlled trial. European Journal of Clinical Nutrition 57, 193–200.

Burdge GC and Calder PC 2005. Conversion of α-linolenic acid to longer chain polyunsaturated fatty acids in human adults. Reproduction, Nutrition and Development 45, 581–597.

Chardigny J-M, Destaillats F, Malpuech-Brugère C, Moulin J, Bauman DE, Lock AL, Barbano DM, Mensink RP, Bezelgues J-P, Chaumont P, Combe N, Cristiani I, Joffre F, German JB, Dionisi F, Boirie Y and Sébédio J-L 2008. Do trans fatty acids from industrially produced sources and from natural sources have the same effect on cardiovascular disease risk factors in healthy subjects? Results of the trans Fatty Acids Collaboration (TRANSFACT) study. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 87, 558–566.

De Goede J, Verschuren WMM, Boer JMA, Kromhout D and Geleijnse JM 2011. Alpha-linolenic acid intake and 10-year incidence of coronary heart disease and stroke in 20 000 middle-age men and women in the Netherlands. PLoS One 6, e17967.

Department of Health 1991. Report on health and social subjects 41. Dietary reference values for food nutrients for the United Kingdom. Report of the Panel on Dietary Reference Values of the Committee on Medical Aspects of Food Policy. HMSO, London.

Department of Health 1994. Report on health and social subjects 46. Nutritional aspects of cardiovascular disease. Report of the Cardiovascular Review Group Committee on Medical Aspects of Food Policy. HMSO, London.

Elwood PC, Pickering JE, Givens DI and Gallacher JE 2010. The consumption of milk and dairy foods and the incidence of vascular disease and diabetes: an overview of the evidence. Lipids 45, 925–939.

Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) of the United Nations 2010. Fats and fatty acids in human nutrition. Report of an Expert Consultation. FAO Food and Nutrition Paper 91, FAO, Rome (Final report).

Givens DI and Gibbs RA 2008. Current intakes of EPA and DHA in European populations and the potential of animal-derived foods to increase them. Proceedings of the Nutrition Society 67, 273–280.

Harris WS 2006. The omega-6/omega-3 ratio and cardiovascular disease risk: uses and abuses. Current Atherosclerosis Reports 8, 453–459.

Harris WS 2010. The omega-3 index: clinical utility for therapeutic intervention. Current Cardiology Reports 12, 503–508.

Hegsted DM, McGandy RB, Myers ML and Stare FJ 1965. Quantitative effects of dietary fat on serum cholesterol in man. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 17, 281–295.

Hegsted DM, Ausman LM, Johnson JA and Dallal GE 1993. Dietary fat and serum lipids: an evaluation of experimental data. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 57, 875–883.

Hooper L, Summerbell CD, Thompson R, Sills D, Roberts FG, Moore H and Davey Smith G 2011. Reduced or modified dietary fat for preventing cardiovascular disease. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 7, CD002137.

Hooper L, Harrison RA, Summerbell CD, Moore H, Worthington HV, Ness A, Capps N, Davey Smith G, Riemersma R and Ebrahim S 2004. Omega 3 fatty acids for prevention and treatment of cardiovascular disease. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 4, CD003177.

Hooper L, Thompson RL, Harrison RA, Summerbell CD, Ness AR, Moore HJ, Worthington HV, Durrington PN, Higgins JPT, Capps NE, Riemersma RA, Ebrahim SBJ and Davey Smith G 2006. Risks and benefits of omega 3 fats for mortality, cardiovascular disease, and cancer: systematic review. British Medical Journal 332, 752–760.

Jakobsen MU, O'Reilly EJ, Heitmann BL, Pereira MA, Bälter K, Fraser GE, Goldbourt U, Hallmans G, Knekt P, Liu S, Speigelman D, Stevens J, Virtamo J, Willett WC and Ascherio A 2009. Major types of dietary fat and risk of coronary heart disease: a pooled analysis of 11 cohort studies. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 89, 1425–1432.

Katan MB, Brouwer IA, Clarke R, Geleijnse JM and Mensink RP 2010. Saturated fat and heart disease. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 92, 459–460.

Keys A, Andrerson JT and Grande F 1965. Serum cholesterol response to changes in diet. IV. Particular saturated fatty acids in the diet. Metabolism 14, 776–787.

Keys A, Aravanis C, Blackburn HW, Van Buchem FS, Buzina R, Djordjević BD, Dontas AS, Fidanza F, Karvonen MJ, Kimura N, Lekos D, Monti M, Puddu V and Taylor HL 1966. Epidemiological studies related to coronary heart disease: characteristics of men aged 40–59 in seven countries. Acta Medica Scandinavica Supplement 460, 1–392.

Mangiapane EH and Salter AM 1999. Diet, lipoproteins and coronary heart disease: a biochemical perspective. Nottingham University Press, UK.

Marik PE and Varon J 2009. Omega-3 dietary supplements and the risk of cardiovascular events: a systematic review. Clinical Cardiology 32, 365–372.

Mensink RP and Katan MB 1992. Effects of dietary fatty acids on serum lipids and lipoproteins: a meta-analysis of 27 trials. Arteriosclerosis and Thrombosis 12, 911–919.

Mensink RP, Zock PL, Kester ADM and Katan MB 2003. Effects of dietary fatty acids and carbohydrates on the ratio of serum total to HDL cholesterol and on serum lipids and apolipoproteins: a meta-analysis of 60 controlled trials. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 77, 1146–1155.

Mente A, de Koning L, Sannon HS and Anand SS 2009. A systematic review of evidence supporting a causal link between dietary factors and coronary heart disease. Archives of Internal Medicine 169, 659–669.

Micha R, Wallace SK and Mozaffarian D 2010. Red and processed meat consumption and risk of incident coronary heart disease, stroke, and diabetes mellitus. Circulation 121, 2271–2283.

Mozaffarian D and Rimm EB 2006. Fish intake, contaminants and human health. Journal of the American Medical Association 296, 1885–1899.

Mozaffarian D and Clarke R 2009. Quantitative effects on cardiovascular risk factors and coronary heart disease risk of replacing partially hydrogenated vegetable oils with other fats and oils. European Journal of Clinical Nutrition 63, S22–S33.

Mozaffarian D, Micha R and Wallace S 2010. Effects on coronary heart disease of increasing polyunsaturated fat in place of saturated fat: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. PLoS Medicine 7, e1000252.

Mozaffarian D, Ascherio A, Hu FB, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC, Siscovck DS and Rimm EB 2005. Interplay between different polyunsaturated fatty acids and risk of coronary heart disease in men. Circulation 111, 157–164.

Salter AM and White DA 1996. Effects of dietary fat on cholesterol metabolism: regulation of plasma LDL concentration. Nutrition Research Reviews 9, 241–257.

Scarborough P, Bhatnagar P, Wickramasinghe K, Smolina K, Mitchell C and Rayner M 2010a. Coronary heart disease statistics 2010a edition. British Heart Foundation.

Scarborough P, Rayner M, van Dis I and Norum K 2010b. Meta-analysis of the effect of saturated fat intake on cardiovascular disease: overadjustment obscures true associations. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 92, 458.

Simopoulos AP 2008. The importance of the omega-6/omega-3 fatty acid ration in cardiovascular disease and other chronic diseases. Experimental Biology and Medicine 233, 674–688.

Siri-Tarino PW, Sun Q, Hu FB and Krauss RM 2010a. Meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies evaluating the association of saturated fat with cardiovascular disease. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 91, 535–546.

Siri-Tarino PW, Sun Q and Hu FB 2010b. Reply to P Scarborough et al. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 92, 459.

Siri-Tarino PW, Sun Q, Hi FB and Krauss RM 2010c. Response to MB Katan et al. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 92, 460–461.

Skeaff CM and Miller J 2009. Dietary fat and coronary heart disease: summary of evidence from prospective cohort and randomised controlled trials. Annals of Nutrition and Metabolism 55, 173–201.

Soedamah-Muthu SS, Ding EL, Al-Delaimy WK, Hu FB, Engberink MF, Willett WC and Geleijnse JM 2011. Milk and dairy consumption and incidence of cardiovascular diseases and all-cause mortality: dose–response meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 93, 158–171.

Standing Advisory Committee on Nutrition (SACN) 2004. Advice on fish consumption: benefits and risks. TSO, London, UK.

Standing Advisory Committee on Nutrition (SACN) 2007. Position statement by the Standing Advisory Committee on Nutrition: update on trans fatty acids and health. TSO, London, UK.

Stanley JC, Elsom RL, Calder PC, Griffin BA, Harris WS, Jebb SA, Lovegrove JA, Moore CS, Riemersma RA and Sander TAB 2007. UK Food Standards Agency Workshop Report: the effects of the dietary b-6 : n-3 fatty acid ratio on cardiovascular health. British Journal of Nutrition 98, 1305–1310.

Tanaka T, Shen J, Abecasis GR, Kisialiou A, Ordovas JM, Guralnik JM, Singleton A, Bandinelli S, Cherubini A, Arnett D, Tsai MY and Ferrucci L 2009. Genome-wide association study of plasma polyunsaturated fatty acids in the InCHIANTI study. PLoS Genetics 5, e1000338.

Unal B, Critchley JA and Capewell S 2004. Explaining the decline in coronary heart disease mortality in England and Wales between 1981 and 2000. Circulation 109, 1101–1107.

Wang C, Harris WS, Chung M, Lichtenstein AH, Balk EM, Kupelnick B, Jordan HS and Lau J 2006. N-3 fatty acids from fish and fish oil supplements, but not α-linolenic acid, benefit cardiovascular disease outcomes in primary- and secondary-prevention studies: a systematic review. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 84, 5–17.

Welch AA, Shakya-Shrestha S, Lentjes AH, Wareham NJ and Khaw K-T 2010. Dietary intake and status of n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids in a population of fish-eating and non-fish-eating meat-eaters, vegetarians, and vegans and the precursor-product ratio of α-linolenic acid to long-chain n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids: results from the EPIC-Norfolk cohort. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 92, 1040–1051.

Wyness L, Weichselbaum E, O'Connor A, Williams EB, Benelam B, Riley H and Stanner S 2011. Red meat in the diet: an update. Nutrition Bulletin 36, 34–77.

Yu SM, Derr J, Etherton TD and Kris-Etherton PM 1995. Plasma cholesterol-predictive equations demonstrate that stearic acid is neutral and monounsaturated fatty acids are hypocholesterolemic. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 61, 1129–1139.